WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

| | , |
|--|---|
| | |

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

| | • | 07.2. | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Jose Juarez-Castro | Case Number: | 08-6381M | |
| present and v | e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § was represented by counsel. I conclude the defendant pending trial in this case | by a preponderance of the ev | was held on November 25, 2008. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the | |
| | | FINDINGS OF FACT | | |
| I find by a pre | eponderance of the evidence that: | | | |
| \boxtimes | The defendant is not a citizen of the | ne United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence. | | |
| \boxtimes | The defendant, at the time of the cl | charged offense, was in the United States illegally. | | |
| | If released herein, the defendant Enforcement, placing him/her beyon or otherwise removed. | eased herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs cement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported terwise removed. | | |
| | The defendant has no significant co | ontacts in the United States of | r in the District of Arizona. | |
| | The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. | | | |
| X | The defendant has a prior criminal | al history. | | |
| | The defendant lives/works in Mexic | t lives/works in Mexico. | | |
| | The defendant is an amnesty app substantial family ties to Mexico. | in amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has es to Mexico. | | |
| | There is a record of prior failure to | is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. | | |
| | The defendant attempted to evade | de law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. | | |
| | The defendant is facing a maximum | n ofy | vears imprisonment. | |
| at the time of | f the hearing in this matter, except as n | oted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW | ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Court | |
| 1. 2. | There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of con | endant will flee. ditions will reasonably assure FIONS REGARDING DETEN | the appearance of the defendant as required. | |
| a corrections appeal. The of the United defendant to | defendant is committed to the custody facility separate, to the extent practical defendant shall be afforded a reasonal States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp APPEAI ORDERED that should an appeal of the | of the Attorney General or his, le, from persons awaiting or so le opportunity for private cons the Government, the person in ose of an appearance in conf LS AND THIRD PARTY REL his detention order be filed with | /her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding. EASE In the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to | |
| Court. | | | one day prior to the hearing set before the District dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial | |
| Services suff | ficiently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian. | re the District Court to allow | Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and | |
| DAT | TED this 25 th day of Novembe | r, 2008. | | |
| | | | | |

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge